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Session objectives

After this session, you will be able to...

• define the various categories and types of instructional multimedia

• appraise the research that informs our practice in developing multimedia for online presentations

• discuss how faculty and instructional designers can apply these emerging best practices when developing online presentations
Defining types of multimedia
Audio Presentations

- Podcast
- Course introduction
- Personal introduction
- Module introduction
- “Lecture”
- Student feedback
- Course announcements
- Interview
- Event/lecture capture
- Role play
- Case study/Scenario/Vignette
Voiceover Presentations

Course introduction
Personal introduction
Module introduction
“Lecture”
Case study/ Scenario/Vignette
Screencasting Presentations

Course introduction
Module introduction
Student feedback
Demo of application/process
Video Presentations
What can we learn from the research?
Does including video in my course make a difference for my students?
* Findings from this study indicate that instructor-generated video content can have a positive and moderate influence on student satisfaction with and engagement in asynchronous online courses.
* 92% believe video increases student satisfaction with their learning experience. Other areas in which video is thought to have a positive impact include increasing student achievements (84%), increasing teacher satisfaction (83%), increasing educator collaboration and professional development (83%), and making the on-boarding process of new students more smooth (80%).
* Many students also commented that they no longer even viewed the inserted PowerPoint presentations and that the instructor-generated video content had replaced that aspect of the course. This was somewhat surprising as the instructor-generated video content was originally designed to supplement the PowerPoint presentations.
* the fraction of students accessing lecture-oriented videos decreased to less than 40% toward the end of the semester, and students were more likely to not access the whole video when these lecture-oriented video becomes longer.

* little in class time was spent describing or working on laboratory activities, and all instructions required for laboratory activities were delivered through lab videos (especially the lab-specific ones). Students not only accessed the laboratory videos a lot, but also were more likely to access these videos completely without skipping sections in it when the video length increases.

* little correlation between student engagement with video lectures and student performance in the course.
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How long is too long?
* Chunk into 6 minute segments
* in-person students indicated a preference for shorter videos at 1.5 to 3 minutes (48.1%) while the online students indicated a preference for longer videos at 3-7 minutes in length (55.6%)
* video length impacts their viewing decision
* The mean maximum time for college-age participants’ willingness to watch was about four and a half minutes. The median was approximately three minutes. In general, shorter appears better: three to four minutes is around the maximum length that most eighteen to twenty-nine year olds are willing to watch.
* Chunk into 6 minute segments
* In-person students indicated a preference for shorter videos at 1.5 to 3 minutes (48.1%) while the online students indicated a preference for longer videos at 3-7 minutes in length (55.6%)
* Video length impacts their viewing decision
* The mean maximum time for college-age participants' willingness to watch was about four and a half minutes. The median was approximately three minutes. In general, shorter appears better: three to four minutes is around the maximum length that most eighteen to twenty-nine year olds are willing to watch.
* About 70 percent of participants indicated that they are either more likely to watch a video with a timestamp or will rarely watch unless the time is indicated
* Even high quality pre-recorded classroom lectures are not as engaging when chopped up for a MOOC.
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Do students want to see me talk?
* Videos that intersperse an instructor’s talking head with slides are more engaging than slides alone.

* Results showed that significantly less attention was devoted to the task when the instructor was present in the modeling example as compared to when he was not present, because students looked a substantial amount of time at the instructor's face (ca. 30% on average), even though the instructor’s face occupied a rather small area of the video (i.e., 4.8%).

* These findings suggest that the model’s face might not be a distractor in social instructional situations in which the model is engaging in a demonstration while explaining what s/he is doing.

* We indeed found that participants who saw the instructor's face paid less attention to the task area than participants who did not see the face. Seeing the instructor's face had neither beneficial nor detrimental effects on learning in the present study.

* While this consideration is important for managing cognitive load, it is also relevant to promoting student engagement. When telling a story, it can be very effective to show the storyteller's face or to show an animation of the story. When solving a problem, Khan academy-style videos are particularly helpful, showing students step-by-step with narration how to work through the problem (Guo et al., 2014). When teaching about an invisible phenomenon, it can be helpful to provide an illustration. In each case, providing visual elements that add to the lesson can not only promote student understanding but also engagement with the lesson.
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If I am not onscreen, then what do students want to see?
* Khan-style tablet drawing tutorials are more engaging than PowerPoint slides or code screencasts
* Khan-style tutorial that provides symbolic sketches to illustrate the verbal explanation uses both channels to give complementary information.
* When solving a problem, Khan academy-style videos are particularly helpful, showing students step-by-step with narration how to work through the problem (Guo et al., 2014). When teaching about an invisible phenomenon, it can be helpful to provide an illustration. In each case, providing visual elements that add to the lesson can not only promote student understanding but also engagement with the lesson.
* For brief how-to videos, there is a small preference for screencast instructional videos over a narrative roleplay scenario. The results of the survey indicate that roleplay videos should be well-produced, brief, and high quality. However, what constitutes high quality is not very well established.
By highlighting the key information, it helps direct learner attention, thus targeting particular elements of the video for processing in the working memory. This can reduce extraneous load by helping novice learners with the task of determining which elements within a complex tool are important, and it can also increase germane load by emphasizing the organization of and connections within the information.

For brief how-to videos, there is a small preference for screencast instructional videos over a narrative roleplay scenario. The results of the survey indicate that roleplay videos should be well-produced, brief, and high quality.
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Should I include video of me tutoring students?
* The first explanation is that tutees can serve as a model of learning. This is supported by our finding showing that the observing students seem to imitate tutees’ constructive behaviors, such as generating substantive comments and asking questions, which are important constructive learning skills.

* we speculate that seeing conflict episodes might encourage and motivate students to try harder. Such motivation could be triggered by a sense of empathy or a fear of failing so that the observers want to try harder.
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Do I need to have a studio setup with high quality video?
* Videos produced with a more personal feel could be more engaging than high-fidelity studio recordings. – Try filming in an informal setting; it might not be necessary to invest in big-budget studio productions
* Videos where instructors speak fairly fast and with high enthusiasm are more engaging. – Coach instructors to bring out their enthusiasm and reassure that they do not need to purposely slow down.
* Weeding is the elimination of interesting but extraneous information from the video, that is, information that does not contribute to the learning goal. For example, music, complex backgrounds, or extra features within an animation require the learner to judge whether he should be paying attention to them, which increases extraneous load and can reduce learning.
* It’s important that the instructor consider her learners when weeding educational videos, including information that is necessary for their processing but eliminating information that they don’t need to reach the learning goal and that may overload their working memory. Ibrahim (2012) has shown that this treatment can improve retention and transfer of new information from video.
* Voice quality in narration matters. Although preference in type of voice inevitably varies, the actor’s voice is noticed over production value. It is important that the narrator speaks evenly and clearly.
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If I do not have any students that are deaf/hard of hearing, do I really need to have captions?
* Closed captions help with comprehension of dialogue that is spoken very quickly, with accents, mumbling, or background noise.
* Video that mentions full names, brand names, or technical terminology provides clarity for the viewer.
* Closed captions help maintain concentration, which can provide a better experience for viewers with learning disabilities, attention deficits, or autism.
* Viewers who know English as a second language benefit from closed captions, because they make it easier to follow along with the speech.
* Captions allow viewers to watch videos in sound-sensitive environments, like offices and libraries.
* Closed captions has reached a tipping point, with 52% of respondents reporting that they are currently using the technology, and only 9% believing it not to be useful.
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What does the future hold?
* 97% think that interactive videos (in which content changes depending on viewer behavior) is going to be important to education in the future.
* While most use cases showed steady usage or slight increases, lecture capture usage has increased by 21% in the last two years.

* Over the past two years, there were two big gains in which video use cases higher education institutions employ. (Note: to compare against previous years, we are isolating for just higher education.) Lecture capture is the biggest gain, leaping up by 21% since 2016. Clearly lecture capture has gained steam over the last two years. Interestingly, “Internal organization usage (e.g., internal collaboration, training employees, IT support and FAQs, etc.)” also showed an increase by 20%. Apparently more institutions are using video to help their staff stay organized and informed.

Flipped classrooms is less dramatic, but has shown slow and steady gains since we first started the survey in 2014, progressing from 51% to 60% in 2018.
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