Designing to Promote Interaction in

Online **LEARN**ing Systems

Zahra Derakhshandeh*, Babak Esmaeili**

*California State University, East Bay

**Arizona State University

Online learning









Online learning

Advantage:

- Temporal and geographical flexibilities while learning
- Completing the course at learner's pace







Online learning

Limitations?









Online learning Limitations?









No face-to-face interaction

Some Consequences for the learners:

- Feeling isolated or disconnected from the community
 - adverse emotions like anxiety, sadness, and depression.
- Need for instructor's influence, feedback, explanation, or confirmation
- Feeling discouraged or lost
- More



Online Learning: Interaction

- By Moore (1989):
 - 1. Learner-learner interaction (peers)
 - 2. Learner-instructor interaction
 - 3. Learner-content interaction
- Learner-instructor and peer interaction
 - 1. asynchronous
 - 2. synchronous

OLS: Asynchronous Communication

Message passing using

- Email communication
 - perception process may take longer time
 - may require back and forth message passing
- Forums, and discussion board
 - too much interaction leading to learner overload
- Q/A

Advantages, Drawbacks?

- Interaction can happen at learner's comfort time.
- Isolation and miscommunication are still highly likely.

OLS: Synchronous Communication

Instant messaging among peers and instructor

- Live chat:
 - How long?
 - When?
 - 24/7? --- costly, impossible
 - Chatbots? --- isolation, lack of instructor's feedback, etc
 - Users may lose their own pace and flexibilities

OLS: Synchronous Communication

Instant messaging among peers and instructor

- Live chat:
 - How long?
 - When?
 - 24/7? --- costly, impossible
 - Chatbots? --- isolation, lack of instructor's feedback, etc
 - Users may lose their own pace and flexibilities

How to learn/teach at our comfort (time and location) + still being able to interact face-to-face ?

Tele-instruction

Tele-instruction: Appointments



Tele-instruction: Video conferencing and File Sharing





Tele-instruction: Learning Management System

• Content and meeting management

• Addressing student's questions through the system

• Leading them to book an appointment with the instructor if needed





Tele-instruction: Trusted Technical Agents

- Agents to help instructor
- For Load balancing once needed
 - Ex. Large online classes



Tele-instruction

Can help with:

- Tracking progress and evaluations
- Course design and instruction adjustments

The Future?





Thank you!

Selected References

- J. Battalio. Interaction online: A reevaluation.
- Quarterly Review of Distance Education, 8(4), 2007.
- Z. L. Berge. Interaction in post-secondary web-based learning. *Educational technology*, 39(1):5–11, 1999.
- R. M. Bernard, P. C. Abrami, Y. Lou, E. Borokhovski, A. Wade, L. Wozney, P. A. Wallet, M. Fiset, and B. Huang. How does distance education compare with classroom instruction? a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Review of educational research*, 74(3):379–439, 2004.
- W. Blanchard. Telecourse effectiveness: A research-review update. 1989.
- D. U. Bolliger. Key factors for determining student satisfaction in online courses. *International Journal on E-learning*, 3(1):61–67, 2004.
- E. Bray, K. Aoki, and L. Dlugosh. Predictors of learning satisfaction in japanese online distance learners. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 9(3), 2008.
- K. Burnett. Interaction and student retention, success and satisfaction in web-based learning. 2001.
- S.-H. H. Chang and R. A. Smith. Effectiveness of personal interaction in a learner-centered paradigm distance education class based on student satisfaction. *Journal of research on technology in education*, 40(4):407–426, 2008.
- J. Contreras-Castillo, J. Favela, C. P'erez-Fragoso, and
- v. n. p. y. p. Santamarı?a-del-Angel, Eduardo, journal=Computers & Education. Informal interactions and their implications for online courses.
- V. P. Dennen, A. Aubteen Darabi, and L. J. Smith. Instructor–learner interaction in online courses: The relative perceived importance of particular instructor actions on performance and satisfaction. *Distance education*, 28(1):65–79, 2007.
- D. V. Eastmond. Alone but together: Adult distance study through computer conferencing. In *35th Annual Adult Education Research Conference, Knoxville, TN*, pages 127–132. ERIC, 1995.

- N. Hara and R. Kling. Students' frustrations with a web-based distance education course. *First Monday*, 4(12), 1999.
- C. A. Hassenplug and D. Harnish. The nature and importance of interaction in distance education credit classes at technical institutes. Community College Journal of Research and Practice, 22(6):591–605, 1998.
- I. Jung, S. Choi, C. Lim, and J. Leem. Effects of different types of interaction on learning achievement, satisfaction and participation in web-based instruction. Innovations in education and teaching international, 39(2):153–162, 2002.
- Y.-C. Kuo. Interaction, internet self-efficacy, and self-regulated learning as predictors of student satisfaction in distance education courses. 2010.
- M. A. Lamport. Student-faculty informal interaction and the effect on college student outcomes: A review of the literature. Adolescence, 28(112):971, 1993.
- D. Laurillard. Rethinking university teaching: a framework for the effective use of learning technologies. TechTrends, 69, 2010.
- R. Lee, J. V. Burgess, and P. Northrup. Learner perceptions of online interaction. Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), 2002.
- M. Moore and G. Kearsley. Distance education: A systems view. 1996. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- M. G. Moore. Three types of interaction, 1989.
- B. Muirhead. Promoting online interaction in today's universities and colleges. Insights for teachers and students, page 30, 2005.
- E. T. Pascarella, P. T. Terenzini, and J. Hibel. Student-faculty interactional settings and their relationship to predicted academic performance. The Journal of Higher Education, 49(5):450–463, 1978.
- F. M. R. Robles. Learner characteristic, interaction and support service variables as predictors of satisfaction in Web-based distance education. The University of New Mexico, 2006.
- F. Saba. Enabling the distance learner: A strategy for success. Distance Education Report, 1998.
- V. Thurmond and K. Wambach. Understanding interactions in distance education: A review of the literature. International journal of instructional technology and distance learning, 1(1):np, 2004.
- V. A. Thurmond. Examination of interaction variables as predictors of students' satisfaction and willingness to enroll in future web-based courses while controlling for student characteristics. In Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference, pages 528–531.
 Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), 2003.